

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

HOLLY SESOCK, et al.,

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01466-APG-DJA

Plaintiffs

Order Accepting Report and Recommendation

V.

[ECF No. 49]

RAVEN DUDLEY, et al.,

Defendants

AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS
AND THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS

On January 11, 2022, Magistrate Judge Daniel Albregts recommended that I strike the first amended answer, counterclaims, and third-party complaint (ECF No. 24) of defendants Raven Dudley and Chris Dudley and have default entered against them because they have failed to comply with three court orders. ECF No. 49. Raven Dudley and Chris Dudley did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that defendant Raven Dudley and Chris Dudley's first amended answer, counterclaims, and third-party complaint (ECF No. 24) are stricken.

22 | // /

23 | // /

1 I FURTHER ORDER the clerk of court to enter default under Federal Rule of Civil
2 Procedure 55(a) against defendants Raven Dudley and Chris Dudley with respect to the
3 plaintiffs' complaint.

4 DATED this 31st day of January, 2022.



5
6 ANDREW P. GORDON
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23